

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,626	08/07/2001	Mehdi Bonakdar	C 2290 COGG	2081
23657 75	90 04/09/2003	,		
COGNIS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
2500 RENAISS GULPH MILLS	SANCE BLVD., SUITI S, PA 19406	∃ 200	QAZI, SABI	IHA NAIM
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1616	6
	·		DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
* /	09/923,626	BONAKDAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sabiha Naim Qazi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	anuary 2003 .				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

Acknowledgement is made of the response and request for reconsideration filed in paper no. 9. Claims 1·19 are pending. No claim is allowed at this time. Arguments on 103 rejection was considered but was not found persuasive therefore rejection is maintained for the same reasons as set forth in our previous office action. The process of producing sterols as presently claimed would have been obvious at the time of invention. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1616

The missing notice of reference cited is enclosed. Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience caused by this inadvertent error.

Claims 1-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fizet (abstract of EP 610742, US Patent 5,487,817). Fitz teaches a process for the recovery of tocopherols and sterols from natural sources by transesterification, which embraces Applicant's claimed invention.

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Prior art teaches a process for isolation of sterols comprising

- a) Esterification of sterols in the fats with fatty acids, which are present in the mixture.
- b) Distillation of the resulting mixture to remove residual fatty aid and other Components, leaving sterol esters in the residue.
- c) Cleavage of the esters to free the sterols.
- d) Isolation of sterols from the residue.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Instant claims differ from the reference in citing conditions for the reactions for example temperature, pressure etc., and cleavage step i.e. to break the ester linkage to get free sterol is termed as transesterification (see section (d) of claim 1). This step is the cleavage of sterol ester to get free sterol. All the steps of the process as instantly claimed are taught by the prior art of record.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to isolate sterols from the mixture by first transesterification of the mixture to get the sterol esters and then separating other products by distillation or any other means and then cleaving the sterol to break the ester bond with sterol to get free sterol.

Art Unit: 1616

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

At the time of invention presently claimed invention is considered obvious because prior art teaches such production of sterols. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare sterols by the esterification or transesterification of sterols as these processes are taught by the prior art of record.

In the light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Naim Qazi whose telephone number is 703-305-3910. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 22, 2003

,